

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
10/081,700	02/22/2002	Joo Hwa Tay	690105.401	690105.401 1272	
500 7	7590 12/11/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC			BARRY, CHESTER T		
701 FIFTH AVE SUITE 6300		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092			1724		
		•	DATE MAILED: 12/11/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(h)

			<u> </u>			
· v · · · · ·	Application	n No.	Applicant(s)			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10/081,700	)	TAY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
	Chester T.	·	1724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PÉRIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/29/02.						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.4-7 and 9-15 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) 2.3 and 8 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 22/20-2 is/are: a) Accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
Attachment(s)		_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1724

Claims 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9 - 13, 15 are rejected under Sec 103(a) as unpatentable over Ref AS and Ref AQ.

Ref. AS describes the benefit of a starvation period on cultivation of aerobic sludge granules useful in sludge blanket waste water treatment processes.

Ref. AQ describes the benefit of providing at least about 1.2 cm/s superficial velocity of air while cultivating aerobic sludge granules useful in sludge blanket waste water treatment processes. Among the benefits are improved settling ability and hydrophobicity.

It would have been obvious to have provided periodic starvation periods while cultivating according to the Ref AQ technique, as suggested by Ref. AQ, in order to make granules of improved form and properties, as suggested by Ref AS.

Alternatively, it would have been obvious to have fluidized the seed particles and granules of Ref. AS at a superficial velocity of 1.2 cm/s or more in order to improve granule form and properties, e.g., hydrophobicity or settling velocity, as suggested by Ref AQ.

Claim 14 is rejected under Sec 103(a) as unpatentable over Ref AS and Ref AQ as applied above, further in view of USP 5985150. Pat '150 describes a method of separating granules from the wastewater using a portion of a vessel that is not aerated (3). It would have been obvious to have employed such a device to harvest the granules of Ref AS as modified by AQ, or of Reg AQ as modified by Ref AS, in order to facilitate granules / water separation, as taught by the patent.

Claims 2 – 3, 8 are objected to, but would be allowed if presented in independent form.

Chester T Barry

Examiner 703-306-5921